

REMARKS

In an Office Action mailed on June 29, 2007, the Examiner: (1) objected to the Specification because of an informality; (2) objected to claim 4 because of an informality; (3) rejected claims 1-3, 11-13, 15-19, 27-28, and 30-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0101068); and (4) objected to claims 4-10, 14, 20-26, and 29 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but otherwise allowable.

Applicants' representative thanks the Examiner for the indicated allowable subject matter of certain dependent claims.

By this Amendment, Applicants amend the Specification to correct the informality identified by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection to the Specification.

In addition, by this Amendment, Applicants amend claim 5 to correct an informality identified by the Examiner. Applicants note that although the Office Action objects to claim 4, having discussed this with the Examiner over the telephone, Applicants understand that the objection was intended for claim 5 and not claim 4. In any case, in view of the amendment to claim 5, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection to claim 5.

Also, by this Amendment, Applicants cancel claims 3, 4, 19, and 20 without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof.

In addition, by this Amendment, Applicants amend independent claim 1 to include the limitations of allowable claim 4 and the intervening claim 3. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to allow amended independent claim 1.

Claims 2 and 5-15 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 1 and thus are patentable for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

Moreover, by this Amendment, Applicants amend independent claim 16 to include subject matter similar to that of allowable claim 4. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to allow amended independent claim 16.

Claim 17 depends from claim 16 and this is patentable for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 16.

Finally, by this Amendment, Applicants amend independent claim 18 to include the limitations of allowed claim 20 and the intervening claim 19. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to allow amended independent claim 18.

Claims 21-33 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 18 and thus are patentable for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 18.

In sum, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to allow claims 1, 2, 5-18, and 21-33 for the reasons given above. The Office Action contains numerous statements characterizing the claims, the Specification, and the prior art. Regardless of whether such statements are addressed by Applicants, Applicants refuse to subscribe to any of these statements, unless expressly indicated by Applicants. Should issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at (512) 996-6839.

If Applicants have overlooked any additional fees, or if any overpayment has been made, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit or debit Deposit Account 503079, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By: */Ranjeev Singh/*
SINGH, RANJEEV
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 47,093
Telephone: (512) 996-6839
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854